IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

		L	E	D	
		0			
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT RICHMOND, VA					

MICHAEL KOESTLER,)	
Plaintiff,)	CLERK, U.S. DISTRI RICHMOND.
v.)	Civil Action No. 3:19CV431-HEH
KEN STOLLE, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION (Dismissing Action Without Prejudice)

Plaintiff, a Virginia inmate proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. In order to state a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that a person acting under color of state law deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right conferred by a law of the United States. *See Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke Valley*, 145 F.3d 653, 658 (4th Cir. 1998) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 1983). In his current complaint, Plaintiff failed to provide the defendants fair notice of the facts and law upon which his or her liability rests.

Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on October 9, 2019, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within fourteen (14) days of the date of entry thereof. The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit the particularized complaint would result in the dismissal of the action.

More than fourteen (14) days have elapsed since the entry of the October 9, 2019 Memorandum Order. Plaintiff failed to submit a particularized complaint or otherwise respond to the October 9, 2019 Memorandum Order. Accordingly, the action will be dismissed without prejudice.

An appropriate order will accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

HENRY E. HUDSON

Date: <u>Oct. 30, 2019</u>
Richmond, Virginia

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE